UNITED STATES DISTRICT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NE		V		
LOUIS PICCOLO, individual those individuals similarly situ		X : : 16-CV-069	930 (GRB)	
Pl -against-	aintiff,	: : DEFENDA : <u>VERDICT</u>	ANTS' PROPOSE <u>' FORM</u>	D
TOP SHELF PROVISIONS C DAHLEM and ANTHONY C	*	: : : X		
De	efendants	Λ		
With respect to each of the cause I. Fair Labor Standards A A. Did Defendants ear \$500,000.00 in the form	Act & New York Labor n an annual dollar vo	Law	0.1	
2013? Y	No			
2014? Y	es No			
2015?Y	esNo			
2016? Y	esNo			
B. Were Plaintiffs each of goods for interstat		nterstate commer	ce or in the produc	ction
Plaintiff		Yes	No	
Piccolo				
Sudlow				

C. Did Defendants have the power to hire and fire Plaintiffs Piccolo and Sudlow? Please use the chart below to answer for each Defendant.

Piccolo

Defendant	Yes	No
Top Shelf Provisions Co. Inc.		
Rich Dahlem		
Anthony Cicciari		

Sudlow

Defendant	Yes	No
Top Shelf Provisions Co. Inc.		
Rich Dahlem		
Anthony Cicciari		

D. Did Defendants supervise and control Plaintiffs Piccolo and Sudlow's work schedules or other work conditions? Please use the chart below to answer for each Defendant.

Piccolo

Defendant	Yes	No
Top Shelf Provisions Co. Inc.		
Rich Dahlem		
Anthony Cicciari		

Sudlow

Defendant	Yes	No
Top Shelf Provisions Co. Inc.		
Rich Dahlem		
Anthony Cicciari		

E. Did Defendants determine Plaintiffs' Piccolo's and Sudlow's rate and method of payment? Please use the chart below to answer for each Defendant.

Piccolo

Defendant	Yes	No
Top Shelf Provisions Co. Inc.		
Rich Dahlem		
Anthony Cicciari		

Sudlow

Defendant	Yes	No
Top Shelf Provisions Co. Inc.		
Rich Dahlem		
Anthony Cicciari		

F.	Did Defendants maintain employment records for Plaintiffs Piccolo and Sudlow?
	Please use the chart below to answer for each Defendant.

Piccolo

Defendant	Yes	No
Top Shelf Provisions Co. Inc.		
Rich Dahlem		
Anthony Cicciari		

Sudlow

Yes	No
	Yes

G. Were Defendants the employers of Plaintiffs Piccolo and Sudlow? Please use the chart below to answer for each Defendant.

Piccolo

Defendant	Yes	No
Top Shelf Provisions Co. Inc.		
Rich Dahlem		
Anthony Cicciari		

Sudlow

Defendant	Yes	No
Top Shelf Provisions Co. Inc.		
Rich Dahlem		
Anthony Cicciari		

H. Were Plaintiffs paid for all hours worked by Defendants? Please use the chart below to answer for each Plaintiff.

Plaintiff	Yes	No
Piccolo		
Sudlow		

I. What hourly rates were Plaintiffs Piccolo and Sudlow paid by Defendants? Please use the chart below to answer for each Plaintiff.

Plaintiff	Regular Rate	Overtime Rate	Yes/No
Piccolo	\$25.64	\$38.47	
	\$18.20	\$27.30	
(other hourly rate)	\$	\$	
Sudlow	\$13.00	\$19.50	
	\$8.38	\$12.58	
(other hourly rate)	\$	\$	

J. What was the average amount of hours that Plaintiffs Piccolo and Sudlow worked for Defendants? Please use the chart below to answer for each Plaintiff.

Plaintiff	Average Hours Worked	Yes/No
Piccolo	50	
	65	
(other average hours)		
Sudlow	50	
	65	
(other average hours)		

K. Were Plaintiffs highly compensated employees? Please use the chart below to answer for each Plaintiff.

Plaintiff	Yes	No
Piccolo		
Sudlow		

L. Were Plaintiffs executive employees? Please use the chart below to answer for each Plaintiff.

Plaintiff	Yes	No
Piccolo		
Sudlow		

M. Were Plaintiffs outside salespeople? Please use the chart below to answer for each Plaintiff.

Plaintiff	Yes	No
Piccolo		
Sudlow		

N. Did Defendants have a good faith b	asis for how they paid	d Plaintiffs?	
Yes	No		
O. Were Defendants obligated to provide Sudlow? Please use the chart below to	_		
Piccolo			
Defendant	Yes	No	
Top Shelf Provisions Co. Inc.			
Rich Dahlem			
Anthony Cicciari			
Sudlow			
Defendant	Yes	No	
Top Shelf Provisions Co. Inc.			
Rich Dahlem			
Anthony Cicciari			
P. Were Defendants obligated to provide a wage statement to Plaintiffs Piccolo and Sudlow for every pay period Defendants issued Plaintiffs Piccolo and Sudlow compensation? Please use the chart below to answer for each Defendant.			
Defendant	Yes	No	
Top Shelf Provisions Co. Inc.			
Rich Dahlem			
Anthony Cicciari			
Q. Was Defendants' obligation to provide notice obviated because Plaintiffs were properly paid? Please use the chart below to answer for each Plaintiff.			
Plaintiff	Yes	No	
Piccolo			
Sudlow			
_	FOREPERSON		
_	DATE		

Dated: Lake Success, New York November 2, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

MILMAN LABUDA LAW GROUP PLLC

<u>/s</u>

Joseph M. Labuda, Esq.
Brett W. Joseph, Esq.
3000 Marcus Avenue, Suite 3W8
Lake Success, NY 11042-1073
(516) 328-8899 (office)
(516) 328-0082 (facsimile)
joe@mllaborlaw.com
brett@mllaborlaw.com